

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff**

vs.

**TOMAS DEHOYOS (50)
Defendant.**

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**NO. 4:21-CR-285-ALM-CAN
(JUDGE MAZZANT)**

ORDER

On this day the Court considered the Defendant's MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL MOTION DATES, CHANGE OF PLEA DEADLINE, AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE DATE. After careful consideration of the pleadings and arguments of counsel, the Court finds as follows:

1. The defendant's request is made knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.
2. The Government has no objection to a continuance of the case.
3. This continuance is required to assure the necessary time for counsel to effectively prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
4. The ends of justice served by granting the defendant's request outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's motion for continuance is GRANTED / DENIED and the motions deadline is reset for the day of _____, 2023.

IT IS ORDERED that the final pretrial conference date is reset for the day of _____, 2023.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the change of plea deadline is reset for the day of _____, 2023.